



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/998,914

11/30/2001

Richard P. Sinn

21756-013600

4276

51206

7590

06/22/2007

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
8TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2131

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/22/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/998,914

Applicant(s)

SINN, RICHARD P.

Examiner

Christian La Forgia

Art Unit

2131

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 May 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-11,13,20,21,23,25-27 and 42-57 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-11,13,20,21,23,25-27 and 42-57 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/16/07.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 16 May 2007 has been entered.
2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-11, 13, 20, 21, 23, 25-27 and 42-57 have been presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 6-11, 13, 20, 21, 23, 25-27 and 42-57 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
4. See further rejections that follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5-11, 13, 20, 21, 23, 25-27, and 42-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7,058,798 B1 to Elley et al., hereinafter Elley, in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,842,863 B1 to Fox et al., hereinafter Fox.
7. As per claims 1 and 20, Elley discloses a method comprising the steps of:
retrieving status for a certificate (Figure 4, column 2, lines 47-61, column 10, lines 12-59, i.e. resource server retrieving the status of each certificate, obtaining a credential);

Art Unit: 2131

storing said status (column 2, lines 47-61, column 3, lines 61-67, column 10, lines 12-59, i.e. caching certificate status at the resource server, storing credentials in a credential profile); and

storing validation information for said certificate, wherein said validation information includes an identifier of a time said status was retrieved and a validation interval for said status (Figures 2, 3, column 3, lines 44-60, column 5, lines 1-14, i.e. date and time of issuance, certificates, especially the X.509 which are used in OCSP, contain validity periods)

receiving a request to export said certificate (column 10, lines 42-60, i.e. Alice uses the credentials (certificate) to access resources at Bob);

determining whether to check a status for said certificate (Figure 4 [block 408], 5 [block 506], column 4, lines 1-20, column 11, lines column 11, line 66 to column 12, line 13, i.e. refreshing credentials, i.e. status of certificate).

8. Elley does not teach wherein the status is a real time status and determining whether to check the status for said certificate in real time.

9. Fox discloses using a real time status of a certificate (column 5, lines 55-67) and determining whether to check the status for said certificate in real time (column 5, lines 37-54, column 6, lines 31-51, column 10, lines 1-48, i.e. determining if the transaction is "high valued" and if so requiring real-time proof that the certificate has not been revoked or compromised).

10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a real time status of the certificate and determine whether to check the status for said certificate in real time, since Fox states at column 5, lines 48-54 that checking for the status

Art Unit: 2131

of the certificates in real-time ensures that high-valued transactions have not been compromised from using a revoked certificate.

11. Regarding claims 2 and 21, Fox teaches wherein said step (c) includes the step of: setting said validation interval to zero when said real time status retrieved is not valid (column 7, lines 19-29, i.e. attesting to the current validity of the certificate, which could be deemed revoked or invalid).

12. Regarding claims 4 and 23, Fox discloses the steps of determining whether said check time falls within a time period, wherein said time period begins at said time said real time status was retrieved and extends for said validation interval (column 7, lines 30-44).

13. Concerning claims 6 and 25, both Elley and Fox teach wherein exporting said certificate, if said check time falls within said time period in their disclosure of presenting the certificate to be used in a transaction.

14. Concerning claims 7, 26, 47, and 55, Fox discloses issuing an error message, if said check time does not fall within said time period (column 8, lines 19-29, i.e. issuing a statement if the check failed).

Art Unit: 2131

15. Regarding claim 8, Fox teaches retrieving a new real time status for said certificate, in response to determining to check status for said certificate in real time (column 5, lines 37-54, column 6, lines 31-51, column 10, lines 1-48).

16. With regards claim 9, both Elley and Fox teach exporting said certificate, if said new real time status indicates said certificate is valid in their disclosure of presenting the certificate to be used in a transaction.

17. With regards claims 10, 44, and 52, Fox discloses issuing an error message said new real time status indicates said certificate is not valid (column 7, lines 19-29, column 8, lines 19-29).

18. Regarding claims 11 and 27, Fox and Elley both disclose retrieving a status for said certificate as noted above.

19. Neither Fox or Elley disclose receiving a request to display information from said certificate and displaying said information from said certificate and said status.

20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to display the certificate and its status upon receiving a request to display said information, since it would only require routine skill in the art to display such information and Official Notice is taken of such.

21. With regards to claim 13, Fox discloses wherein said step (j) includes the steps of:

Art Unit: 2131

determining whether to check status for said certificate in real time (column 5, lines 37-54, column 6, lines 31-51, column 10, lines 1-48);

retrieving said real time status for said certificate to serve as said status, if it is determined to check status in real time (column 5, lines 37-54, column 6, lines 31-51, column 10, lines 1-48); and

retrieving a new real time status to serve as said status, if it is determined not to check status in real time (column 5, lines 37-54, column 6, lines 31-51, column 10, lines 1-48).

22. As per claims 42 and 50, Elley discloses a method comprising:

retrieving a status for a certificate (Figure 4, column 2, lines 47-61, column 10, lines 12-59, i.e. resource server retrieving the status of each certificate, obtaining a credential);

storing the status (column 2, lines 47-61, column 3, lines 61-67, column 10, lines 12-59, i.e. caching certificate status at the resource server, storing credentials in a credential profile);

storing validation information for the certificate, wherein the validation information includes an identifier of a time the status was retrieved and a validation interval for the status (Figures 2, 3, column 3, lines 44-60, column 5, lines 1-14, i.e. date and time of issuance, certificates, especially the X.509 which are used in OCSP, contain validity periods);

receiving a request to export the certificate (column 10, lines 42-60, i.e. Alice uses the credentials (certificate) to access resources at Bob);

determining whether to check a status for the certificate (Figure 4 [block 408], 5 [block 506], column 4, lines 1-20, column 11, lines column 11, line 66 to column 12, line 13, i.e. refreshing credentials, i.e. status of certificate).

Art Unit: 2131

23. Elley does not wherein the status is a real time status, exporting the certificate when it is determined not to check its status, determining to and checking the certificate status in real-time when it is determined to check the status of the certificate.

24. Fox discloses determining to not check the status for the certificate, exporting the certificate without checking the status for the certificate (column 5, lines 37-54, i.e. using the certificate when it is determined that it is NOT a “high valued” transaction);

in response to determining to check the status for the certificate, determining whether to check the status for the certificate in real time (column 5, lines 37-54, column 6, lines 31-51, column 10, lines 1-48, i.e. determining if the transaction is “high valued” and if so requiring real-time proof that the certificate has not been revoked or compromised); and

in response to determining to check the status for the certificate in real time, retrieving a new real time status for the certificate (column 5, lines 37-54, column 6, lines 31-51, column 10, lines 1-48).

25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a real time status of the certificate, export the certificate when it is determined not to check its status, determine to and check the certificate status in real-time when it is determined to check the status of the certificate, since Fox states at column 5, lines 48-54 that checking for the status of the certificates in real-time ensures that high-valued transactions have not been compromised from using a revoked certificate.

Art Unit: 2131

26. Regarding claims 43 and 51, both Elley and Fox teaches exporting the certificate if the new real time status indicates the certificate is valid in their disclosure of presenting the certificate to be used in a transaction.

27. Regarding claims 45 and 53, Fox teaches in response to determining to not check the status for the certificate in real time, determining whether the check time falls within a time period, wherein the time period begins at the time the real time status was received and extends for the validation interval (column 7, lines 30-44).

28. With regards to claims 46 and 54, both Elley and Fox teach exporting the certificate if the check time falls within the time period in their disclosure of presenting the certificate to be used in a transaction.

29. Regarding claims 48, 49, and 56, Fox teaches wherein determining whether to check a status for the certificate comprises querying a parameter field in an identity system (column 6, lines 11-18, i.e. the request message is submitted to a certificate authority).

Conclusion

30. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian La Forgia whose telephone number is (571) 272-3792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7-5.

Art Unit: 2131

31. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

32. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christian LaForgia
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2131

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'CLF', with a large, stylized loop at the end.

clf